```
1
                     UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
 2
                    CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
 3
                           WESTERN DIVISION
 4
 5
 6
    UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 7
             PLAINTIFF,
 8
 9
                                     CR 15-00595-RGK
            V.
                                    RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA
10
                                  ) NOVEMBER 10, 2015
    ANGELO HARPER, JR.,
11
                                  ) (10:42 A.M. TO 10:57 A.M.)
             DEFENDANT.
12
13
                             HEARING
                  BEFORE THE HONORABLE SHERI PYM
14
                  UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE
15
16
    APPEARANCES: SEE NEXT PAGE
17
18
    COURT REPORTER:
                            RECORDED; COURT SMART
19
   COURTROOM DEPUTY: KIMBERLY CARTER
                             DOROTHY BABYKIN
20
    TRANSCRIBER:
                             COURTHOUSE SERVICES
21
                             1218 VALEBROOK PLACE
                             GLENDORA, CALIFORNIA 91740
22
                             (626) 963-0566
23
24
    PROCEEDINGS RECORDED BY ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING;
     TRANSCRIPT PRODUCED BY TRANSCRIPTION SERVICE.
25
```

2 1 APPEARANCES: (CONTINUED) 2 FOR THE PLAINTIFF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA: 3 EILEEN DECKER UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 4 LAWRENCE MIDDLETON CHIEF, CRIMINAL DIVISION 5 BY: TRITIA YUEN ASSISTANT UNITED STATES ATTORNEY 6 3403 TENTH STREET SUITE 200 7 RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92501 8 FOR THE DEFENDANT ANGELO HARPER, JR.: 9 HILARY POTASHNER 10 FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER BY: YOUNG J. KIM 11 DEPUTY FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER 3801 UNIVERSITY AVENUE SUITE 700 12 RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA 92501 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23

24

RIVERSIDE, CALIFORNIA; NOVEMBER 10, 2015; 10:42 A.M.

1

4

2 THE CLERK: COURT IS NOW IN SESSION. 3 THE HONORABLE SHERI PYM --CASE NUMBER CR 15-595, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA 4 5 VERSUS ANGELO HARPER, JR. 6 COUNSEL, WOULD YOU PLEASE STATE YOUR APPEARANCES FOR 7 THE RECORD. 8 MS. YUEN: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 9 TRICIA YUEN FOR THE UNITED STATES. 10 THE COURT: GOOD MORNING. 11 MR. KIM: GOOD MORNING, YOUR HONOR. 12 YOUNG KIM FROM THE FEDERAL PUBLIC DEFENDER FOR ANGELO 13 HARPER, JR., WHO'S PRESENT IN CUSTODY. 14 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. GOOD MORNING. 15 AND GOOD MORNING, ANGELO HARPER, JR. IS THAT YOUR TRUE NAME? 16 17 THE DEFENDANT: YES. 18 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WE ARE HERE THIS MORNING FOR A -- ESSENTIALLY A 19 REVIEW OF THE ORDER OF DETENTION IN THIS CASE. 20 AND -- SO, MR. KIM, SINCE YOU'VE BROUGHT THIS MOTION, 21 22 I'LL LET YOU GO FIRST. 23 MR. KIM: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR. 24 YOUR HONOR, FIRST OF ALL, MR. HARPER WAS ARRESTED ON 25 OCTOBER 16TH AND WAS BEFORE THIS COURT ALMOST A MONTH AGO. AND

BACK THEN WHEN I SPOKE WITH MR. HARPER'S PARENTS AND PRETRIAL SPOKE WITH MR. HARPER'S PARENTS, THEY WERE NOT WILLING TO PROVIDE ANY BAIL RESOURCES.

THIS HAS JUST HAPPENED THAT -- RECEIVED SOME NEWS
FROM THE AGENTS ABOUT POSSIBLE CONDUCT THAT MR. -- THAT ANGELO
HARPER, JR. MIGHT HAVE COMMITTED --

THE COURT: UH-HMM.

MR. KIM: -- TO OTHER FAMILY MEMBER. AND THEY WERE IN NO -- THEY WERE IN NO PLACE MENTALLY TO MAKE A DECISION ABOUT BOND.

IN THE COURSE OF THE LAST SEVERAL WEEKS, I'VE BEEN TALKING TO THE FAMILY. AND AS A FAMILY THEY CAME TOGETHER AND MADE A DECISION -- NOT AN EMOTIONAL DECISION BUT A REASONED DECISION ABOUT WHY THEY WANT TO HELP MR. HARPER WITH BOND IN THIS CASE. SO, THEY'RE PUTTING UP THEIR HOME. THE HOME WAS PURCHASED AT A TIME WHEN IT WAS AT THE HEIGHT OF THE MARKET. EVEN THOUGH THEY HAVE MADE QUITE A FEW MORTGAGE PAYMENTS, THE EQUITY IN THE HOME WE BELIEVE IS ABOUT \$25,000.

BOTH ANGELO HARPER, SR. AND LINDA HARPER, THE MOTHER, WORK. AND THEY'RE WILLING TO SIGN FOR WHATEVER AMOUNT OF BOND. BUT GIVEN THEIR INCOMES OF ABOUT A THOUSAND DOLLARS FOR THE FATHER AND ABOUT \$2,000 FOR THE MOTHER, MY ESTIMATE WAS ABOUT 35,000 WAS THE MAXIMUM THE TWO COULD COMBINED SIGN FOR -- WHICH WOULD BE ABOUT \$60,000.

YOUR HONOR, WHEN I SAY THE "WHOLE FAMILY," I MEAN THE

6 ENTIRE FAMILY. MR. HARPER'S SISTER IS ALSO IN SUPPORT OF 1 2 MR. HARPER BEING RELEASED. 3 I PROVIDED A LETTER FOR THE COURT FROM A POLICE LIEUTENANT THAT'S IN -- THAT IS IN RIVERSIDE WHO HAS --4 THE COURT: I'VE READ THAT. YES. 5 MR. KIM: -- KNOWN MR. HARPER FOR HIS ENTIRE LIFE WHO 6 7 GIVES A PRETTY REASONED -- I GUESS HIS FEELINGS ABOUT MR. 8 HARPER AND WHAT'S -- WHAT'S HAPPENING. 9 YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT THE GOVERNMENT SHARED SOME INFORMATION WITH ME THIS MORNING. SAUSA BEECHER HAD ALREADY 10 11 TOLD ME ABOUT SOME MEDICAL EXAMINATIONS THAT WERE BEING 12 CONDUCTED ON MR. HARPER'S NIECE. AND I THINK THAT THEY'LL BE 13 PROFFERING SOME INFORMATION ABOUT THAT. YOUR HONOR, THE BAIL REFORM ACT ASKS THIS COURT TO 14 SEE IF THERE ARE CONDITIONS THAT WILL REASONABLY ASSURE THE 15 SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY AND AN APPEARANCE IN COURT FOR MR. 16 17 HARPER IN THIS CASE FOR THE CASE BEFORE THIS COURT. 18 ANYTHING THE GOVERNMENT WOULD PROFFER ABOUT OTHER 19 CONDUCT IS NOT A CASE BEFORE THIS COURT. 20 THE BAIL REFORM ACT DOES PUT THE BURDEN ON MR. HARPER BECAUSE THE COURT LOOKS AT THE ALLEGATIONS IN ANY COMPLAINT OR 21 22 INDICTMENT IN FRONT OF THE COURT AS -- HAS TO ASSUME THAT IT'S

TRUE FOR PURPOSES OF BOND. BUT IT DOESN'T ASK THE COURT TO

BEFORE THIS COURT TO SEE IF THOSE -- SEEING IF THOSE ARE TRUE.

LOOK AT ALLEGATIONS THAT AREN'T EVEN CHARGED THAT ARE NOT

23

24

AND --

OF THE COMMUNITY.

THE COURT: WELL, I MEAN, LET ME ASK YOU ABOUT THAT.

BECAUSE DON'T YOU THINK -- I MEAN, I AGREE THERE'S

WHAT'S PRESUMED AND NOT. BUT DON'T YOU THINK THAT OTHER

CIRCUMSTANCES THAT MAY BE AT LEAST RELATED TO THE CHARGED

CONDUCT WOULD BE RELEVANT TO CONSIDER THE ISSUES OF THE SAFETY

MR. KIM: YOUR HONOR, I THINK THAT THERE HAS TO BE A LINE DRAWN SOMEPLACE. BECAUSE WHEN WE COME BEFORE THE COURT -- AND US AS DEFENSE COUNSEL WE'RE TOLD, WELL, JUST THE ALLEGATIONS IN THE COMPLAINT, THE COURT HAS TO CONSIDER THOSE TO BE TRUE. AND WE ACCEPT THAT. AND WE HAVE TO COME UP WITH WHY -- REASONS WHY THERE WOULD STILL BE CONDITIONS THAT CAN REASONABLY ASSURE APPEARANCE AND SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY.

DEFENDANTS COME BEFORE THE COURT WITH ALL KINDS OF HORRIFIC ALLEGATIONS AGAINST THEM LIKE THIS CASE. IF THE COURT WAS TO LOOK OUTSIDE EVEN THE CHARGING DOCUMENT AND WHAT'S CHARGED IN THIS CASE, IT WOULD BE KIND OF LIMITLESS THE AMOUNT OF INFORMATION THE COURT COULD CONSIDER FOR DETERMINING WHAT IS THE -- WHAT ARE THE REASONABLE CONDITIONS OR THE CONDITIONS THAT WILL REASONABLY ASSURE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY AND FLIGHT RISK IN THIS CASE. AND WE DON'T THINK THAT'S APPROPRIATE.

SO, I'M SURE THE GOVERNMENT COUNSEL WILL STAND UP

NEXT AND PROFFER INFORMATION ABOUT OTHER CONDUCT. THAT IS NOT

A CASE BEFORE THIS COURT. AND WE ASK THE COURT NOT TO CONSIDER

THOSE FACTS WHEN DETERMINING THE CONDITIONS THAT WOULD BE SUITABLE IN THIS CASE FOR RELEASE.

AND WE BELIEVE THAT FOR THIS CASE MR. HARPER HAS A FAMILY. THIS IS HIS PARENTS' HOME. THIS IS WHERE HE'LL BE LIVING. OBVIOUSLY, THERE WOULD BE CONDITIONS OF NO CONTACT WITH HIS NIECE. ALL -- ALL FAMILY MEMBERS UNDERSTAND THIS. AND THEY AGREE TO THIS. HE WOULD BE UNDER THE SUPERVISION OF HIS PARENTS WHO ARE NOW AWARE OF ALL THE ALLEGATIONS AGAINST HIM, CHARGED OR NOT. THEY'RE STILL WILLING TO PUT UP THEIR HOME -- ALL THE EQUITY IN THEIR HOME THAT'S AVAILABLE -- AND ALSO SIGN UNSECURED AFFIDAVITS.

MR. HARPER, I WOULD ASSUME, WOULD BE ON INTENSIVE SUPERVISION. THIS WAY HE CAN START ON MUCH NEEDED MENTAL HEALTH COUNSELING IMMEDIATELY. IF THE COURT WANTS TO MAKE THAT A CONDITION OF PRETRIAL RELEASE, WE WOULD ASK THAT THAT BE A CONDITION.

YOUR HONOR, I THINK THERE ARE CONDITIONS THAT CAN BE TAILORED TO -- TO REASONABLY ASSURE BOTH THE FACTORS THAT THE COURT IS CONCERNED WITH HERE AND RELEASE MR. ANGELO HARPER TO HIS FAMILY.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

ALL RIGHT. MS. YUEN.

MS. YUEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

THE GOVERNMENT DOES NOT THINK THAT THE PROPOSED BOND IS SUFFICIENT TO -- TO ENSURE THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY AND

TO ENSURE THE DEFENDANT'S APPEARANCE AT FUTURE HEARINGS.

AND I'LL START WITH THE DANGER --

THE COURT: OKAY. AND LET ME JUST ASK YOU FIRST, MS.

YUEN, BECAUSE MR. KIM MENTIONED SOME INFORMATION YOU'RE

INTENDING TO PROFFER.

MS. YUEN: UH-HMM.

THE COURT: SO, WHY DON'T WE START WITH THAT AND THEN

SEE WHERE -- BECAUSE I'M NOT -- I'M NOT -- WELL, AFTER YOU

PROFFER, THEN, WE CAN SEE THE DEFENSE POSITION WITH RESPECT TO

THAT.

MS. YUEN: YES, YOUR HONOR.

IT'S MY UNDERSTANDING THAT I THINK IT WAS JUST

YESTERDAY OR THE DAY BEFORE THE D.A.'S OFFICE FILED CHARGES

AGAINST THIS DEFENDANT. AND IT IS THREE COUNTS OF 288.7(B) AND

TWO -- I'M SORRY, THREE COUNTS OF 288.7(B) AND TWO COUNTS OF

288.7(A), WHICH ARE BOTH RELATED TO ABUSE ALLEGATIONS.

AND I DON'T WANT TO SAY TOO MUCH IN AN OPEN

COURTROOM, BUT THE STATE CASE, THE THREE COUNTS OF 288.7(B)

CARRY SENTENCES OF 15 TO LIFE. AND THE TWO COUNTS OF 288.7(A)

CARRY SENTENCES OF 25 TO LIFE. SO, EVEN IF THE SENTENCES

AREN'T RUN CONSECUTIVELY, HE'S LOOKING AT 25 TO LIFE WITH A

TOTAL EXPOSURE BEING 95 YEARS TO LIFE. SO, IT'S SIGNIFICANT

CHARGES. THEY'VE BEEN FILED BUT NOT -- NOT YET -- THE

PAPERWORK IS NOT YET AVAILABLE. THAT TAKES A FEW DAYS TO A

WEEK.

10 SO, YOUR HONOR, I THINK THOSE CHARGES -- AND I CAN 1 2 GET THE COURT MORE INFORMATION IF YOU'D LIKE. BUT I THINK THEY 3 GO TO BOTH DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY AND FLIGHT RISK. BUT I'LL ACTUALLY START WITH FLIGHT RISK BECAUSE --4 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. WELL, LET ME -- AND BEFORE I 5 6 HEAR ARGUMENT FROM YOU --7 WELL, I JUST HAVE ONE QUESTION. DO THESE ALLEGATIONS 8 ALL INVOLVE THE DEFENDANT'S NIECE? 9 MS. YUEN: YES, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: OKAY. AND, SO, LET ME -- ALL RIGHT. I 10 11 UNDERSTAND THE PROFFER. 12 I UNDERSTAND YOUR ARGUMENT A LITTLE BIT MORE, MR. 13 KIM, ABOUT SORT OF THE BOUNDS OF THE CASE. 14 BUT LET ME ASK YOU DO YOU ACCEPT JUST THE PROFFERED 15 INFORMATION? MR. KIM: I DO, YOUR HONOR. 16 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 17 ALL RIGHT. THEN I'LL HEAR ARGUMENT FROM YOU THEN, 18 MS. YUEN. 19 20 MS. YUEN: YEAH, YOUR HONOR. UNIDENTIFIED SPEAKER: DO WE HAVE TO EMPTY THE 21 22 COURTROOM NOW? 23 THE COURT: IS --LET ME ASK. IS THERE ANYBODY IN THE COURTROOM WHO IS 24

NOT SORT OF -- I'M NOT SURE WHO EVERYONE HERE IS. I SEE PEOPLE

MS. YUEN: AND, YOUR HONOR, THAT'S ONE OF THE FEDERAL

FROM THE PUBLIC DEFENDER'S OFFICE.

AGENTS ON THE CASE IN THE BACK.

1

2

3

```
4
              MR. KIM: YEAH. I DON'T THINK --
              THE COURT: OKAY.
 5
              MR. KIM: -- THERE'S ANYBODY IN THE COURTROOM.
 6
 7
              THE COURT: YEAH. SO, I THINK -- I THINK EVERYONE
 8
    HERE -- AND I ASSUME THIS IS -- ALL RIGHT.
 9
              AND IS MR. HARPER'S -- IS MR. -- MR. HARPER'S FAMILY
10
     IS HERE --
11
              MR. KIM: FATHER.
12
              THE COURT: -- AS WELL. YEAH. OKAY. THAT'S WHAT I
13
    ASSUMED. OKAY. ALL RIGHT.
14
              AND THANK YOU FOR THAT.
15
              ALL RIGHT. GO AHEAD, MS. YUEN.
              MS. YUEN: THANK YOU, YOUR HONOR.
16
              AS TO FLIGHT RISK, BECAUSE OF THESE CHARGES, HE'S
17
18
    OBVIOUSLY FACING A SIGNIFICANT AMOUNT OF TIME IN THE STATE.
19
    HE'S ALSO FACING A LOT OF TIME ON THIS CASE. IT'S A MANDATORY
20
    MINIMUM. 15 YEARS ON THE ADVERTISING CHARGE. MAX OF 30. HIS
    GUIDELINES, WE CALCULATE IT TO BE LIFE. HE'S A LEVEL 45 BY OUR
21
    CALCULATION. SO, JUST THE EXPOSURE HE HAS ON THE FEDERAL CASE
22
23
    AND THE STATE CASE CREATE A SIGNIFICANT FLIGHT RISK.
24
              AS TO DANGER TO THE COMMUNITY, HE'S ADMITTED TO
    SEXUALLY ABUSING TWO CHILDREN, INCLUDING HIS NIECE, WHO IS THE
25
```

SUBJECT -- WHO IS THE VICTIM IN THE CHARGES FILED BY THE STATE.

AND IT'S UNCLEAR TO THE GOVERNMENT, YOUR HONOR, IF THAT VICTIM

STILL LIVES IN THE HOME.

AND I UNDERSTAND MR. KIM'S STATED ASSURANCES THAT
HE'LL UNDERSTAND THAT THERE'S NO CONTACT, BUT IN THE ORIGINAL
PRETRIAL SERVICES REPORT IT INDICATED THAT THE VICTIM NO LONGER
LIVED IN THAT HOME.

THE COURT: UH-HMM.

MS. YUEN: BUT ON A REPORT -- A PHYSICAL EXAMINATION OF THE NIECE THAT I HAD GIVEN TO MR. KIM, THEY LIST THE ADDRESS OF THE HOME OF THE DEFENDANT'S PARENTS THAT'S BEING PROPOSED AS THE BOND AS THE RESIDENCE FOR THAT CHILD. SO, WE WOULD WANT AT THE VERY LEAST FOR PRETRIAL TO CONFIRM WHERE THAT CHILD LIVES AND TO ASSURE THAT HE DOES NOT HAVE ACCESS TO HER OR TO ANY OTHER CHILDREN.

YOUR HONOR, IT'S -- THESE ARE VERY SERIOUS

ALLEGATIONS. AND WE JUST DON'T THINK THAT THE PROPOSED BOND IS

SUFFICIENT TO INSURE THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY AND HIS FUTURE

APPEARANCE.

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU.

AND IF YOU'D GIVE ME JUST ONE MOMENT, I WANT TO LOOK AT ONE THING.

(PAUSE IN PROCEEDINGS.)

THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. SO -- SO, THIS -- I BELIEVE
THIS IS THE CASE.

13 1 WHEN THIS MATTER ORIGINALLY CAME IN I BELIEVE THAT 2 THE CHARGE AND THE COMPLAINT WAS JUST A POSSESSION OF CHILD 3 PORNOGRAPHY. AND SO THE -- IT WAS NOT A PRESUMPTION CASE. I 4 BELIEVE THIS IS NOW A PRESUMPTION CASE IN LIGHT OF THE INDICTMENT --5 AM I CORRECT ABOUT THAT --6 7 MS. YUEN: THAT'S CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 8 THE COURT: -- MS. YUEN? 9 AND WOULD YOU AGREE --10 MR. KIM: CORRECT, YOUR HONOR. 11 THE COURT: -- WITH THAT? ALL RIGHT. 12 ALL RIGHT. 13 MR. KIM, IS THERE ANY RESPONSE YOU WANTED TO MAKE TO MS. YUEN'S ARGUMENTS? 14 MR. KIM: YOUR HONOR, I WOULD JUST SAY THAT 15 CONSIDERATION OF BOND IN THE STATE CHARGES WILL BE TAKEN UP BY 16 17 STATE COURT. AND THE STATE COURT WILL EITHER SET BOND OR NOT. 18 AND THAT SHOULD NOT BE A CONSIDERATION FOR BOND IN THIS COURT 19 TODAY, YOUR HONOR. 20 AND ON THAT, I'LL SUBMIT. 21 THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. 22 WELL, I -- I DON'T KNOW IF THIS WOULD BE TRUE IN 23 EVERY CASE, BUT I DO -- I DO TEND TO AGREE WITH YOU IN THIS 24 CASE, MR. KIM, THAT I DON'T THINK THAT -- THAT THE

CONSIDERATION OF THE STATE CHARGES REALLY CARRY A LOT OF WEIGHT

HERE, AT LEAST IN TERMS OF LOOKING AT THE ADDITIONAL PENALTIES

THAT HE FACES IN THAT CASE IN TERMS OF FLIGHT RISK. BECAUSE I

DO THINK THAT THE STATE NEEDS TO LOOK AT THAT AND THE FACT THAT

SOMEBODY --

AGAIN, I CAN'T SAY THIS WOULD APPLY IN EVERY CASE.

BUT I -- IN THIS CASE I THINK THAT IT'S APPROPRIATE FOR THE

COURT IN TERMS OF FLIGHT RISK JUST TO LOOK AT THE CHARGES HERE.

BUT THAT SAID, I DO THINK THAT IN THIS CASE AS -- IN

A SENSE THERE'S BEEN -- THE CASE HASN'T GOTTEN BETTER FOR YOUR

CLIENT SINCE -- SINCE HE WAS HERE BEFORE BECAUSE THE INDICTMENT

NOW CARRIES MORE SERIOUS CHARGES THAN HE FACED BEFORE -- THAT

DO THEMSELVES CARRY A SIGNIFICANT PENALTY.

AND IN ADDITION -- WELL, IT IS A PRESUMPTION CASE AS NOTED, BUT THE -- I APPRECIATE THAT YOUR CLIENT'S FAMILY HAS COME TOGETHER AND PUT FORTH REALLY ALL THE BAIL RESOURCES AVAILABLE TO THEM.

AND IN CERTAIN CASES THAT MIGHT BE ENOUGH, AT LEAST WITH RESPECT TO FLIGHT RISK. BUT HERE I DO CONTINUE TO HAVE SOME CONCERNS ABOUT -- ABOUT RISK OF FLIGHT, JUST GIVEN HOW SERIOUS THE CHARGES NOW. BUT MORE REALLY MY CONCERN HERE IS WITH DANGER TO THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY IN LIGHT OF THE NATURE OF THE CHARGES HERE. AND THAT DOES INCLUDE THE DEFENDANT'S FAMILY MEMBERS BUT OTHERS -- OTHERS IN THE COMMUNITY AS WELL. BUT I AM IN THIS CASE MOST CONCERNED ABOUT ALL THE FAMILY MEMBERS.

AND THAT SAID, I APPRECIATE THAT FAMILY HAS -- THAT HIS FAMILY IS AWARE NOW OF THE ALLEGATIONS AND WOULD BE MINDFUL OF THAT. EVEN SO, UNDER ALL THE CIRCUMSTANCES HERE, I REALLY DON'T THINK THAT THERE ARE CONDITIONS OR ANY COMBINATION OF CONDITIONS THAT COULD BE SET THAT WOULD REASONABLY ASSURE THE SAFETY OF THE COMMUNITY. AND, SO, I -- I AM GOING TO ABIDE BY MY INITIAL DETENTION ORDER IN THIS CASE. IS THERE ANYTHING FURTHER THAT WE NEED TO TAKE UP TODAY? MS. YUEN: NO, YOUR HONOR. MR. KIM: NO, YOUR HONOR. THE COURT: ALL RIGHT. THANK YOU. THE CLERK: THIS COURT IS NOW ADJOURNED. (PROCEEDINGS ADJOURNED AT 10:57 A.M.)

CERTIFICATE I CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING IS A CORRECT TRANSCRIPT FROM THE ELECTRONIC SOUND RECORDING OF THE PROCEEDINGS IN THE ABOVE-ENTITLED MATTER. 12/29/16 /S/ DOROTHY BABYKIN FEDERALLY CERTIFIED TRANSCRIBER DATED DOROTHY BABYKIN